

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	09/841,593	KORO ET AL.	

**All Participants:** \_\_\_\_\_ **Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(1) David L. Sorkin. (3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Robert T. Pous. (4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 13 April 2004

**Time:** 11 AM

**Type of Interview:**

- Telephonic  
 Video Conference  
 Personal (Copy given to:  Applicant     Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:  Yes     No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

*not discussed*

Claims discussed:

*1 and 11*

Prior art documents discussed:

*art of record (Regalia US 2001/0050880)*


**Part II.**

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

*See Continuation Sheet*

**Part III.**

- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

  
 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Mr. Pous authorized an examiner's amendment changing "by or beyond" to - - to or beyond - - in claims 1 and 11 to make the claims more consistent with applicant's 1/2/2003 remarks and to more clearly distinguish the claims over Regalia (US 2001/0050880).